

Mesh Design Proposal

NYC Mesh is designed and run as a mesh network. As a mesh, various nodes connect to each other in a non-hierarchical way, with traffic flowing in either direction, and rerouting traffic as nodes fail.

As with all mesh networks, we must balance between becoming too much of a "star" topology vs a "mesh" topology.

Neither is fully practical -- Not literally every node next to each other can all connect to each other, nor can we sustain unlimited nodes connecting to one rooftop.

Design

We propose a design to practically cover our city (New York City) which features many tall buildings, regions of short buildings, multiple islands, and a dense urban population. We also want to be able to take advantage of free resources and natural features as they become available.

In this design, we propose:

- A number of community-operated sites which will be in good high location to support the mesh backbone. (Sometimes called high-sites.)
- Rooftop sites that connect to each other and to one or more hub-nodes.
- Two mesh layers:
 - One mesh network between all supernode / hub nodes. This mesh is "the full view" and can "express" you between any two neighborhoods.
 - A rooftop-to-rooftop mesh network within neighborhoods.
- The in-neighborhood meshes will collect all local routes and present them to the hubs.
- Hubs will present all routes from other neighborhoods to each other and to other neighborhoods.

Example Diagram

[nycmesh.hubsandhoods.png](#)

Mesh argument

A number of people have argued for/against this approach, suggesting this is not a valid mesh layout. While it's true that this is not a traditional "by-the-book" mesh network, it seems mesh communities are all about trying out new things.

Technically we could have used one mesh standard across the entire network, however, a few concerns led us the other direction:

- Some longer running mesh networks have problems moving to newer protocols once too many nodes are deployed. We wanted to avoid this problem by creating smaller interconnected meshes.
- We had difficulty finding cheap and available quality routers to continue building the mesh. Additionally, open firmware projects were undergoing internal shifts. Using standard / multiple protocols we can leverage off-the-shelf routers with multiple radios and high speeds; and mix with Libre hardware when available.
- We wanted to support experimentation with multiple protocols in different neighborhoods and interconnectivity to other mesh projects.

Our design is not anti-mesh, but rather embraces the fullness of hardware diversity and interconnectivity.

Revision #2

Created 9 December 2023 04:39:49 by Willard Nilges

Updated 9 August 2024 02:33:34 by Lydon Thorpe